Impact Factor 6.1



Journal of Cyber Security

ISSN:2096-1146

Scopus

Google Scholar



More Information

www.journalcybersecurity.com





SELF EMULSIFYING DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEM FOR POORLY SOLUBLE

DRUGS: A REVIEW

RITIKA PURI

University Institute of Pharma Sciences, Chandigarh University, Gharuan, Mohali, India

Email: Ritika.pharma@cumail.in

Abstract:

As oral drug delivery system is problematic for the drugs which are deprived of solubility

in aqueous solutions. Delivery of poorly soluble drug is slowed down where dissolution

is the rate limiting step. It gives exposure to a novel drug delivery system to improve the

poor solubility as well as low bioavailability. The various strategies have been come out

into literature such as solid dispersions, eutectic mixtures, complexation, permeation

enhancers, use of surfactants and self emulsifying drug delivery system (SEDDS). Self

emulsifying drug delivery systems have been reported to increase the oral absorption of

lipophilic drugs. This review focuses on excipients required for the preparation of

SEDDS, formulations studied as well as advantages and disadvantages of SEDDS.

Key words: SEDDS, GRAS, lipophilic

Introduction:

Approximately one-third of the active pharmaceuticals have poor aqueous solubility which

challenge us to develop formulations of these drugs. Drug has to be dissolved in the GI tract

before it gets absorbed. Thus, their rate and extent largely depends on the rate of dissolution,

therefore, poorly soluble drugs by using conventional approaches face this challenge in which

dissolution is the rate limiting step. As per Biopharmaceutical Classification System (BCS),

BCS-I drugs have high solubility and high permeability; BCS-II have low solubility and high

permeability; BCS-III have high solubility and low permeability whereas BCS-IV have low

solubility and low permeability. Class IV drugs are nearly impossible to prepare unless dose is

very small. BCS-II formulation preparation is challenging because it requires improved

dissolution characteristics. SEDDS improves the bioavailability of of lipophilic substances where

dissolution is the rate limited.

SEDDS are the isotropic mixture of oil, hydrophilic surfactants/cosurfactant and a solubilised drug. These formulations readily form fine oil-in-water emulsions when come in the contact with gastric fluid and dispersed readily with the gentle agitation provided by motility of the stomach and intestine for the necessary emulsification. Upon aqueous dilution, the drug remains in the oil droplets or as a micellar solution since the surfactant concentration is very high in such formulations.¹

Mechanism of Self Emulsification:

Emulsion is formed when two immiscible phases get miscible with each other in the presence of emulsifying agent i.e. surfactant. Addition of the surfactant expands the surface area between the two phases. In case of conventional emulsions, excess of free energy is formed depending upon the size of oil droplets as well as interfacial tension.

The mechanism, by virtue of which self-emulsification is most likely to occur has not yet been comprehensively revealed. Nonetheless, self-emulsification takes place by change in entropy which favors dispersion that is better as that of energy required to increase the surface area of the dispersion. In case of SEDDS free energy formation is low. It is represented as an equation:

DG=SN_ipr_i2S

Here, DG represents the free energy

N is the number of droplets

S is the interfacial energy

R is the radius of the droplet

Emulsifying agents form the monolayer of emulsion droplets to make it stable and also provides a barrier to prevent coalescence.

Excipients of SEDDS Formulations:

Excipients are the inert substances that would be used in the manufacturing of final drug products. They mainly act as diluents, lubricants, binding agents, solvents or any coating materials. There is a wide range of pharmaceutical excipients, but all have their own characteristics. Knowledge of their physicochemical characters is very essential prior to use.

In the United States, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has published listing in the code of Federal Regulations for Generally Recommended as Safe (GRAS) substances that are safe to use. Over the years, FDA also entitled 'Inactive Ingredient Guide' that has been approved to use or somewhere incorporated into the marketed products (http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/iig/index.cfm)² with the maximum dosage level or their route of administration. Once an inactive ingredient has been approved for a product through a particular route of administration, it can be used in any new drug formulation and does not require extensive review.

- a) Oils: Long (>12 carbon) and medium (6-12 carbons) chain triglyceride oils with varying degree of saturation or hydrolysis are opted for the design of SEDDS formulation. Edible oils have lesser capacity to dissolve large amount of lipophilic drug, and their self emulsification efficiency is also poor which limits its use in SEDDS. Therefore, modified vegetable oils are preferred. Vegetable oils comprise of mixture of triglycerides, free fatty acid, non-saponifiable products as well as vitamins like tocopherols which act as antioxidant.
- b) **Surfactants**: Also known as 'Surface active agents'. It reduces the interfacial tension between the liquid-liquid or liquid-solid. Surfactants are amphiphilic in nature and have the capacity to dissolve comparatively higher amount of hydrophobic compounds. This can prevent precipitation of the drug within the GI lumen and for prolonged existence of drug molecules³. Selection of surfactant is based on the hydrophilic-lipophilic balance (HLB) value and their approval as safe. Surfactants with high HLB value >10(hydrophilic) are chosen for instant oil droplets formation. Higher concentration of surfactant in the formulation may cause gastric irritation, concentration range of surfactants lies between 30-68% for the SEDDS formulation. Types of surfactants include anionic, cationic and nonionic surfactants. Nonionic surfactants are most preferred due to their less toxic effect.
- c) **Cosurfactants**: Cosurfactants are added to dissolve the large quantities of surfactants (hydrophilic or lipid base). Concentration range of co-surfactant lie within 7-25% of the formulation. Mainly propylene glycol, polyethylene glycol, ethanol are used but alcohol has the limitation of evaporation from the shells of hard and soft gelatin capsules which may lead to the precipitation of the drug.

Table: List of Excipients used in SEDDS:

Oils	Surfactants	Cosurfactants
Olive	Polysorbate 80	Propylene glycol
Soyabean	Cremophore RH40	Polyethylene glycol
Oleic acid	Span 80	Isopropyl alcohol
Castor	Span 20	Transcutol
Coconut	Phosphotidylcholine	Ethanol
Isopropyl myristate	Vitamin E TPGS	Glycerol
Corn	Polysorbate 20	
Sesame	Labrafil M 1944 CS	

SEDDS possess various advantages such as:

- 1) Drug doesn't come in direct contact with stomach so, it protects the drug molecule from the hostile environment of the gastrointestinal tract.
- 2) SEDDS formulations are helpful in the taste masking of the drug to make it more palatable.
- 3) SEDDS are used to dissolve poorly soluble drugs hence improves the oral bioavailability of drug by facilitating dissolution.
- 4) It helps in selective targeting of the drug at specific site of gastrointestinal tract
- 5) SEDDS can be prepared in different forms such as liquid as well as solid. Liquid can be filled into soft gelatin capsules.
- 6) It acts as substitute for the traditional oral formulations of lipophilic/hydrophobic drugs.¹
- 7) It gives better consistent profile of drug absorption by secreting bilary and pancreatic secretions or by increasing gastric residence time.⁴
- 8) No interference of the food with the drug absorption by using SEDDS delivery system
- 9) These systems possess high payload drug efficiency
- 10) SEDDS also improves bioavailability due to bypass of the hepatic metabolism and drug directly goes into the systemic circulation.⁴

Disadvantages of SEDDS⁵

- 1) No good experimental in vitro models are available for assessment of the formulations.
- 2) Traditional dissolution methods do not work, because formulations depend on digestion prior to release of the drug.
- 3) Different prototype lipid based formulations needs to be developed and tested in vivo.
 - 4) Chemical instabilities of drugs and high surfactant concentrations in formulations (approximately 30-60%) may irritate GIT. Consequently, the safety aspect of the surfactant vehicle had to be considered.
 - 5) Volatile co solvents may migrate into the shells of soft or hard gelatin capsules, resulting in the precipitation of the lipophilic drugs.
 - 6) The precipitation tendency of the drug dilution may be higher due to the dilution effect of the hydrophilic solvent.
 - 7) Formulations containing several components become more challenging to validation.

Table: SEDDS based formulations studied

S.No.	Drug	Objective of the	Excipients	Reference
		study	used	
1	Amphotericin	Enhanced oral	Glyceryl	6
	В	bioavailability and	monooleate	
		stability of the	Tween 80	
		drug	PEG 400	
			PG	
2	Celecoxib	Improved	Capryol 90	7
		dissolution by	Tween 20	
		preparing super-	Tetraglycol	
		saturable SEDDS		
3	Coenzyme	Two fold increase	Myvacet-9	8
	Q10	in the	45	
		bioavailability	Lauroglycol	

		compared to the	Labrasol	
		powder		
		formulation		
4	Baicalein	200.7%	Caprylic	9
		enhancement in	capric	
		bioavailbility as	triglyceride,	
		compared to	Cremophor	
		Baicalein	RH 40	
		susension	Lauroglycol	
5	Flutamide	Enhanced	Sesame oil,	10
		dissolution rate	Tween 20	
			PEG 400	
6	Griseofulvin	Solubility	Peanut oil	11
		enhancement due	Tween 80	
		to the presence of		
		Hydrochoric acid		
		and absorption		
		behavior		
7	Lercanidipine	Improved	Capmul	12
	hydrochloride	solubility	MCM L8	
			Tween 80	
			PEG 400	
8	Nimodipine	In vitro and invivo	Labrasol	13
		performance was	Transcutol	
		improved	Plurol	
			oleque CC	
			497	
9	Paclitaxel	Enhanced oral	Cremophor	14
		absorption	EL	
			Lauroglycol	
			Labrasol	

10	Simvastatin	Improvement in	Polyoxy	15
		hypolipidemic and	castor oil	
		pharmacodynamic	Di and tri	
		performance	glycerides	
11	Tacrolimus	Enhanced	Lauroglycol	16
		absorption	Cremophor	
			RH	
			PEG 400	
12	Tamoxifen	Improved oral	Capryol 90	17
	citrate	efficacy	Propylene	
			glycol	
			Cremophor	
			RH 40	

Conclusion: Oral liquids are the most preferred route due to difficulty in swallowing of solid dosage form as well this route follows non invasive administration. The conventional approaches of oral route suffers from certain limitations such as low bioavailability, high inter and intra subject variability. The current strategies of novel oral drug delivery systems i.e. self emulsifying drug delivery system have significant improvement over conventional approaches (syrups, emulsions, elixirs and suspensions) with enhanced dissolution and improved bioavailability resulting in improved patient compliance.

References:

- 1. Pouton, C.W. (2000). Lipid formulations for oral administration of drugs: non-emulsifying, self-emulsifying and self-microemulsifying drug delivery systems. *Eur J Pharm Sci* 11: S93-8.
- 2. http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/iig/index.cfm

- 3. Gursoy, R.N. and Benita, S. (2004). Self-emulsifying drug delivery systems for improved oral delivery of lipophilic drugs. *Biomed and Pharmacother* **58:** 173-182.
- 4. Sharma, S., Sharma A.D., Chauhan, B., Sanwal, R. (2011). Self Emulsifying Drug Delivery Systems: A Modern Approach for Delivery of Lipophilic Drug, Ordonear research library: 121.
- 5. Shukla, B.J., Koli, R.A., Ranch, M.K., Parikh, K.R. (2010). Self Micro Emulsifying Drug Delivery System, *Int J Pharm Sci* **1(2)**: 13-33.
- 6. Bhattacharyya, A. and Bajpai, M. (2013). Oral bioavailability and stability study of a self-emulsifying drug delivery system (SEDDS) of amphotericin B. *Curr Drug Deliv* **10(5)**: 542-7.
- Song, W.H., Park, J.H., Yeom, D.W., Ahn, B.K., Lee, K.M., Lee, S.G., Woo, H.S., Choi, Y.W. (2013). Enhanced dissolution of celecoxib by supersaturating self-emulsifying drug delivery system (S-SEDDS) formulation. *Arch Pharmacal Res* 36(1): 69-78.
- 8. Kommuru, T.R., Gurley, B., Khan, M.A., Reddy, I.K. (2001). Self-emulsifying drug delivery systems (SEDDS) of coenzyme Q10: formulation development and bioavailability assessment. *Int J Pharm* **212**: 233–246.
- 9. Liu, W., Tian, R., Hu, W., Jia, Y., Jiang, H., Zhang, J., Zhang, L. (2012). Preparation and evaluation of self-microemulsifying drug delivery system of baicalein. *Fitoterapia* **83**: 1532–1539.
- 10. Jeevana, J.B. and Sreelakshmi, K. (2011). Design and evaluation of self-nanoemulsifying drug delivery system of flutamide. *J Young Pharm* **3(1):** 4–8.
- 11. Ofokansi, K.C., Chukwu, K.I., Ugwuanyi, S.I. (2009). The use of liquid self-microemulsifying drug delivery systems based on peanut oil/tween 80 in the delivery of griseofulvin. *Drug Dev Ind Pharm*35(2):185-91.
- 12. Kallakunta, V.R., Bandari, S., Jukanti, R., Veerareddy, R.P. (2012). Oral self emulsifying powder of lercanidipine hydrochloride: Formulation and evaluation. *Powder Technol* **221**: 375–382.
- 13. Kale, A.A. and Patravale, V.B. (2008). Design and evaluation of self-emulsifying drug delivery systems (SEDDS) of nimodipine. *AAPS Pharm Sci Tech* **9(1)**:191-6.

- 14. Yang, S., Gursoy, R.N., Lambert, G., Benita, S. (2004). Enhanced oral absorption of paclitaxel in a novel self-microemulsifying drug delivery system with or without concomitant use of P-glycoprotein inhibitors. *Pharm Res.* **21(2)**: 261-70.
- 15. Patil, P., Patil, V., Paradkar, A. (2007). Formulation of a self-emulsifying system for oral delivery of simvastatin: *in vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation. *Acta Pharm* **57**: 111–122.
- 16. Patel, P.V., Patel, H.K., Panchal, S.S., Mehta, T.A. (2013). Self micro-emulsifying drug delivery system of tacrolimus: Formulation, *in vitro* evaluation and stability studies. *Int J Pharm* **3(2)**: 95-104.
- 17. Elnaggar, Y.S., El-Massik, M.A., Abdallah, O.Y. (2009). Self-nanoemulsifying drug delivery systems of tamoxifen citrate: design and optimization. *Int J Pharm* 380:133-41.